

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,792	11/20/2003	Jennifer Mayhorn	PG16044P1161US	8592	
32116 7	32116 7590 06/07/2004		EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			RUDDOCK, U	RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			1771		
		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,792	MAYHORN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Ula C Ruddock	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	la alla mana di cana at				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/16/04</u> .	6) Other:	ation application (LTC-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 16, 2004, is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James et al. (US 5,906,786) in view of Koerber et al. (US 5,916,659) or Agarwal et al. (US 4,693,920) or George et al. (US 4,428,999). James et al. disclose nonwoven fabrics with raised portions. The micro-sized pattern produces a background portion of the fabric and the macro-sized recessed regions produce the raised portion of the fabric. (abstract). James et al. fail to disclose that additives are added to the raised and background portions.

Koerber et al. (US 5,916,659) disclose composites comprising a nonwoven binder wherein, as shown in claim 8, the nonwoven is between a fluoropolymeric portion and a non-fluoropolymeric portion. Agarwal et al. (US 4,693,920) disclose a nonwoven substrate having an adhesive coating on one side of the substrate (col 2, ln 28-48) and a resin coating on the other side of the substrate (col 2, ln 49-61). It should be noted that the materials making up the resin coating and adhesive coating are different polymers. George et al. disclose a nonwoven fabric (col 4, ln 22-24) having a

Art Unit: 1771

vapor barrier coating (col 4, ln 52-58) on one side of the fabric and refractory coating on the other side of the fabric (col 5, ln 20-31). These references all show that it is well known in the fabric industry to coat a fabric with different compositions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used the teaching of coating a fabric with different compositions on each surface of the fabric as taught by of Koerber et al. or Agarwal et al. or George et al. on the fabric of George et al., motivated by the desire to create a fabric having differing properties and different functions.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ula C. Ruddock

Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700

UCRWW